# NORTH DAKOTA LIVESTOCK POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM-PHASE VI



#### **CONTACT PERSON:**

Jason Wirtz North Dakota Department of Agriculture (701)328-2216 Email: jwirtz@state.nd.gov

## Submitted by:

North Dakota Department of Agriculture 600 E. Boulevard Avenue-Dept. 602 Bismarck, ND 58505-0020 1-800-242-7535 701-328-2216

## NORTH DAKOTA LIVESTOCK POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM-PHASE VI

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Part 1.0	PROGRAM SUMMARY SHEET AND COVER PAGE	Page
	Cover Page	1
	Project Proposal-Summary Sheet	3
Part 2.0	STATEMENT OF NEED	5
Part 3.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	6
	3.1 Goals	8
	3.2 Objectives	9
	Facility Priority Ranking Sheet	Attachment 1
	3.3 Milestone Table	Attachment 2
	3.5 Lead Project Sponsor	11
	3.6 Operation and Maintenance Plan	11
Part 4.0	COORDINATION PLAN	
	4.1 Lead Project Sponsor	11
	4.3 Cooperating Agencies & Organizations	11
	4.4 Coordination of Program	12
Part 5.0	EVALUATION & MONITORING PLAN	13
	Animal Feedlot Runoff Risk Index Worksheet (AFRRIW)	Attachment 5
Part 6.0	BUDGET	Attachment 3 & 4

# APPENDIX A

APPENDIX
AFOS-Animal Feeding Operation
AU-Animal Unit
BMP-Best Management Practice
CAFOS-Confined Animal Feeding Operation
DP3-Dairy Pollution Prevention Program
EPA-Environmental Protection Agency
EQIP-Environmental Quality Incentive Program
HUC-Hydrologic Unit Code
LP3-Livestock Pollution Prevention Program
NDDA-North Dakota Department of Agriculture
NDDH-North Dakota Department of Health
NPS-Non-Point Source
NRCS-Natural Resource Conservation Service
RC&D-Resource Conservation and Development
SCD-Soil Conservation District
WRB-Water Resource Board

#### PROJECT PROPOSAL SUMMARY PAGE PART 1.0

<u>PROJECT TITLE NAME</u>: North Dakota Livestock Pollution Prevention Program-Phase VI (LP3)

#### NAME AND ADDRESS OF LEAD PROJECT SPONSOR/SUBGRANTEE:

North Dakota Department of Agriculture 600 East Boulevard, Dept 602 Bismarck, ND 58505-0020

<u>CONTACT PERSON:</u> Jason Wirtz

Livestock Pollution Prevention Program Coordinator Phone: (701) 328-2216

PROJECT TYPES: Waste management/watershed

<u>WATERBODY TYPES:</u> Lakes, Rivers, Streams, Groundwater, Wetlands

<u>NPS CATEGORY:</u> Agriculture

<u>TMDL STATUS:</u> Statewide Project will focus on water-bodies on the 303(d) list

PROJECT AREA: Statewide

#### SUMMARIZATION OF MAJOR GOALS:

This funding request is a continuation of the Livestock Pollution Prevention Program. The LP3 Program is a voluntary pollution prevention program designed to identify, reduce or eliminate any release of livestock waste into surface or ground water. Surface waters are potentially protected "three times over": 1) directly, where surface water exists within the boundaries of a farm; 2) indirectly, where wastes would run off the farm property to reach surface water; and 3) indirectly, where ground waters are hydraulically connected to surface waters, whether on or off the farm property. There are five major river basins in the state of North Dakota which are Upper Missouri River (Lake Sakakawea), Lower Missouri River (Lake Oahe), Souris River, James River, and Red River. The primary efforts of the Livestock Pollution Prevention Program Phase VI will be to focus efforts such as technical and financial assistance in the Lower Missouri River Basin. The specific watersheds to be targeted in this basin include the Heart River, Knife River, Beaver Creek, and Cannonball River.

The primary goal of the North Dakota Department of Agriculture's Livestock Pollution Prevention Program (LP3) is to bring awareness of regulatory requirements to the state's livestock producers and to help coordinate the installation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) at facilities discharging livestock waste into the waters of the state. The long-term goal of the project is to prevent/eliminate water quality impairments associated with the majority of the medium and small AFO's in the state.

#### **PROJECT SUMMARY**:

The Livestock Pollution Prevention Program plan is to continue to:

- Provide financial and technical assistance to install Best Management Practices on those livestock operations impacting the water quality of our state
- Meet and educate livestock producers regarding livestock waste pollution and formulate solutions
- Work with smaller producers to create alternative methods and solutions to decrease livestock pollution through the installation of partial containment systems and adopting more advanced feeding management techniques
- Focus efforts in watersheds that have impaired waters

#### **Budget Summary:**

FY 2016 Section 319 Funds Requested	\$	350,000
Match	<u>\$</u>	233,333
Total Project Cost	\$	583,333

# NORTH DAKOTA LIVESTOCK POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM-PHASE VI PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PLAN (LP3)

#### **1.5 CONTINUATION PROJECT:**

This PIP represents the continued efforts of the Livestock Pollution Prevention Program-Phase VI (LP3) and the expansion of those efforts to address manure management issues for all types of livestock feeding operations statewide. See Summary of Past Accomplishments in the Project Description on pages 7 and 8.

#### **2.0 STATEMENT OF NEED**

A significant emphasis has been placed on livestock confinement waste management and enforcement of the Clean Water Act throughout North Dakota and the United States during the past several years. The Clean Water Act includes prohibition of discharges of pollutants to waters of the United States. Manure from animal feeding operations (AFO's) has been identified as a major contributor to the impairment of water quality in many of the watersheds of North Dakota, according to the North Dakota Department of Health's 2016 Integrated Water Quality Assessment Report. The improper management of a livestock operation can cause many long term impacts to the beneficial uses of the state's waters. The primary uses that are most impacted are drinking water and recreation. Recreation use was assessed on 7,920 miles of rivers and streams in the state. Recreation use was fully supporting, fully supporting but threatened and not supporting on 1,449 miles, 3,318 miles and 3,153 miles, respectively. Pathogens (as reflected by E. coli bacteria) are the primary cause of recreation use impairment in North Dakota. Other factors affecting the use of the state's rivers and streams for recreation would be eutrophication from excessive nutrient loading, resulting in nuisance algae and plant growth. The primary sources of E. coli bacteria contamination are animal feeding operations and riparian area grazing.

Major sources of nutrient loading to the state's lakes and reservoirs are erosion and runoff from cropland; runoff from animal feeding operations (e.g., concentrated livestock feeding and wintering operations); and hydrologic modifications. Hydrologic modifications, such as wetland drainage, channelization and ditching, increase the runoff and delivery rates to lakes and reservoirs, in effect increasing the size of a lake's watershed.

Recreation use (e.g., swimming, waterskiing, boating, sailing, sunbathing) was assessed for 168 lakes and reservoirs in the state totaling 602,295 acres. Of this total, eight(8) lakes, representing 6,308 acres, were assessed as not supporting use for recreation. The primary cause of use impairment is excessive nutrient loading, which results in nuisance algal blooms and noxious aquatic plant growth. One-hundred-twenty-two (122) lakes and reservoirs totaling 567,644 acres were assessed as fully supporting recreation use. Of these, 38 lakes and reservoirs totaling 26,439 acres, were assessed as threatened.

The Livestock Pollution Prevention Program is critical to the water quality of North Dakota. The program provides onsite education to livestock producers regarding the importance of preventing

livestock waste from entering the waters of our state. The program also assists producers with technical information in regards to bringing their operations into compliance with environmental regulations and installing containment systems on those operations discharging pollutants. There are five major river basins in the state of North Dakota which are Upper Missouri River (Lake Sakakawea), Lower Missouri River (Lake Oahe), Souris River, James River, and Red River. The primary efforts of the Livestock Pollution Prevention Program Phase V will be to focus efforts such as technical and financial assistance in the Lower Missouri River Basin (reference Attachment 6). The specific watersheds to be targeted in these basins include impaired reaches of the Heart River, Knife River, Beaver Creek, and Cannonball River.

There are over ten thousand beef operations in North Dakota with approximately seventy-five hundred operations with less than two hundred head of cows and approximately twenty-five hundred operations with more than two hundred cows. It would be impossible to report the numbers of operations that are with <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile of waters of the state without conducting aerial or county by county surveys on location of operations. From field observations by the LP3 Coordinator in the past seventeen years there are many operations that are in need of assistance and producer participation is rising.

The NDDH is responsible for implementing North Dakota's <u>Rules and Regulations for the</u> <u>Control of Pollution from Certain Livestock Enterprises</u> (see North Dakota Administrative Code (NDAC) Chapter 33-16-03). The rules and regulations require concentrated feeding operations, or any livestock operation that is impacting a water of the state, to be reviewed and approved by the NDDH. EPA has granted this responsibility to the NDDH. Those operations between 300-999 AU and within1/4 mile of surface waters of the state must submit an application for a NDDH state permit. At this time, the installation of full containment systems on operations between 300-999 AU is a major priority of the Livestock Pollution Prevention Program. As well as the small AFO's which are operations with less than 300 AU to be targeted for assistance installing partial systems such as clean water diversions, and relocating feeding areas. The partial system application must include a winter feeding plan, nutrient management plan and compliance/installation schedule.

Information and Education (I&E) programs are essential to convince livestock producers to practice proper nutrient application methods and to install containment systems if needed. The North Dakota Department of Agriculture relies on the NDSU Manure Management Specialists to provide those services. The role of the LP3 coordinator is technically assist producers by completing onsite environmental assessments of livestock operations to determine if there is water pollution concern from the livestock production area. If there is a concern the LP3 coordinator will try to convince the producer to install a containment system and aid the producer with cost share assistance from the LP3 program.

#### **3.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The ultimate purpose of this program is to protect and/or improve the quality of the waters of the state by reducing or preventing the transport of pollutants associated with manure from the state's livestock operations to nearby waterbodies. As a proactive program, these efforts will also be coordinated with the NDDH, to ensure the manure management systems installed under the LP3 Program Phase VI will assist cooperating livestock producers to comply with current state livestock manure management rules. In general, the state's livestock producers are concerned about water quality and recognize that improved manure management on their operations can benefit water quality. However, time and financial resources are the most common limiting factors preventing many producers from completely addressing their manure management concerns. Consequently, there remains a need to deliver a program that will provide the technical and financial assistance needed for design planning and system construction. To address this need, Phase VI of the LP3 Program will deliver the following types of assistance:

#### Best Management Practices to be installed and the benefits to water quality:

- Clean water diversions-preventing clean water from manure contamination
- Livestock Waste Containment Systems-prevention of livestock waste from entering surface or groundwater
- Partial Systems-- will minimize the accumulation of livestock manure in confined feeding areas, improve manure utilization; and eliminate feeding in or near riparian corridors

#### Technical Assistance offered:

- Professional advice on manure management and containment procedures
- Site evaluation and recommendation of regulatory requirements
- Nutrient management planning

#### Summary of Past Accomplishments May 2006 to September 2017

- Forty-one livestock containment systems permitted and cost shared.
- Thirty-three partial systems were cost shared and installed all in the past 5 years.
- There are 597 producers that have received technical assistance on the topic areas of nutrient management planning, project planning, and regulatory compliance through onsite visits with the livestock producer.
- Approximately 200 livestock producers educated about manure management through workshops, informational meetings, conferences, and tours.
- The NDDA nominated Ole and Jessica Johnson for the EPA Region 8 Environmental Stewardship Award which they were awarded in 2006. The LP3 program cost shared their manure containment system in 2006.
- As of September 2017, the LP3 program is responsible for yearly load reductions of 753,377 pounds of nitrogen and 289,156 pounds of phosphorous into waters of the state.

These reductions were calculated using the Animal Feedlot Runoff Assessment Worksheet.

- Approximately 45,000 cattle occupy permitted manure management systems that were planned and installed with technical and financial assistance from the LP3 program.
- There were three manure containment systems installed the 2017 construction season.
- There are two partial containment systems installed in the 2017 construction season.
- There are three planned manure containment systems for the 2018 construction season.

The NDDA is proud of the fact that approximately 92% of the 319 funding received in the past 8 years has been spent directly on the implementation of Best Management Practices.

### 3.1 GOALS, OBJECTIVES & TASKS OF THE ND LP3 PROJECT PHASE V

### Long Term Project Goals

The LP3 is designed to provide educational, technical, and financial assistance to livestock producers and help them install manure management systems and develop comprehensive nutrient management plans on their specific operations. The successful implementation and maintenance of these practices will prevent or reduce the release of livestock waste into the waters of our state. The final goal is to bring the majority of the small to medium livestock operations in compliance with the assistance of other programs such as the 319 watershed projects, Stockman's Association Environmental Services Program, NDSU Nutrient Management Program, NPS BMP Team, and NRCS.

In general, high priority will be those facilities that are the major contributors of livestock runoff. A ranking process using the NDSU Extension Service AFO Evaluation Worksheet (Attachment 1) will be used to make the determination. Specific criteria used in the worksheet is proximity to a blue line stream, number of animal units, number of days animals are confined to lots or housing, flooding frequency, and groundwater proximity concerns. AFO's with a score above 50 points are considered high priorities.

#### Goals

The Livestock Pollution Prevention Program (LP3) Phase VI is a continuation and expansion of the initial phase of the Dairy Pollution Prevention Program (DP3). To separate this project from the previous LP3 and DP3 projects, this stage of the LP3 project will be recognized as the ND Livestock Pollution Prevention Program-Phase VI. A majority of Phase VI efforts will focus on the implementation of BMPs; such as, manure containment systems. The goal for Phase V is to financially assist 10 of the state's livestock producers with the implementation of the BMP's needed to improve manure management, and assist them in meeting current state and federal livestock manure management rules and regulations. In order to install 3 manure management systems, additional funding will be needed to accomplish this goal. In the period following the completion of Phase VI, additional phases will be initiated, if necessary, to accomplish the overall long-term goal of the project.

One of the primary goals for this phase is to decrease E. coli bacteria as well as nitrogen and phosphorous levels in the state's waters. By decreasing this loading, the recreational and aquatic

uses will be protected and improved. The NDDA plans to decrease yearly nitrogen loading by an estimated 7,000 pounds and 2,500 pounds of yearly phosphorous loading at the end of this grant period. The Animal Feedlot Runoff Risk Index Worksheet (AFRRIW) will be used to estimate annual nutrient loading reductions for manure management systems planned and installed by the LP3 program.

# 3.2 OBJECTIVES: NORTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE'S LIVESTOCK POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM-PHASE VI

- **Objective 1:** Continue educating the state's livestock producers on issues including regulatory requirements, nutrient management, and manure containment by focusing efforts within impaired watersheds included on the 303(d) list with emphasis on the Lower Missouri River Basin. Provide technical assistance to all ND livestock producers that are interested in improving their operation to prevent livestock manure from polluting the state's waters. Deliver the necessary financial or technical assistance to 3 systems with greater than 50 priority points based upon the worksheet (Attachment 1) and/or within a ½ mile of a water-body to reduce and/or prevent the off-site transport of pollutants associated with livestock manure and assist the cooperating producers in meeting current state rules and regulations. Continue to provide technical assistance to all the livestock producers that request assistance.
  - Task 1:Continue meeting with livestock producers at the site of their operation to<br/>explain the LP3 program, AFO/CAFO regulations and what they need to<br/>do to meet full compliance. Continue making follow up visits and contact<br/>to those producers who have expressed further interest in planning Best<br/>Management Practices on their operations which may include clean water<br/>diversions and manure containment systems.
    - Product: Thirty livestock producers who are willing to make changes to the management of their facility to improve water quality. The goal is to locate 3 producers that are interested in installing manure management systems that meet minimum qualification criteria. The goal is to complete 30 site reviews during the grant period.
       Cost: Reflected in personnel/support budget
  - **Task 2:** A worksheet will be completed for each AFO that is interested in BMP funding to determine management needs and to establish a qualification score for LP3 program. Please see Prioritization Worksheet (Attach 1).
    - Product:A group of 3 operations that have serious water quality concerns.Cost:Reflected in personnel/support budget
  - Task 3:Establish Conservation Plan contracts with the 3 producers that were<br/>selected from the ranking sheets (2018=1 contracts, 2019=2 contracts).<br/>This will include securing engineering services, submitting cultural<br/>resource reviews, coordinating with engineering firms to complete design

and construction, coordinating bid process, and coordinating construction. This task includes working with NRCS to assist producers in securing EQIP contracts.

Product:Three manure containment system contracts, which will include<br/>Conservation Plans and Nutrient Management Plans. This product<br/>will include up to 1 installed manure containment system and 2<br/>partial systems. The 319 LP3 grant will cost share 1 full<br/>containment system and 2 partial systems and EQIP will cost share<br/>2 full containment system.

#### Cost: \$219,350/400,000 319 Funding/EQIP Funding

- Task 4:Coordinate with the cooperating producer and if necessary with the<br/>NDDH to conduct periodic operation and maintenance reviews of<br/>completed systems during Phase VI.
  - Product:3 properly managed Manure Containment Systems (1 full and 2<br/>partial) that will be kept in working order<br/>Cost: Reflected in personnel/support budget

#### 3.3 <u>MILESTONE TABLE FOR LP3</u> SEE ATTACHMENT 2

### 3.4 & 3.5 LEAD PROJECT SPONSOR – Appropriate Entity

The North Dakota Department of Agriculture is the appropriate entity to coordinate and implement the Livestock Pollution Prevention Program because:

- The ND Dept. of Ag is a livestock friendly agency
- The ND Dept. of Ag is able to offer the program statewide
- The ND Dept. of Ag has a working relationship with the livestock producers
- The ND Dept. of Ag coordinator has seventeen years of extensive manure management system planning and installation experience
- The ND Dept. of Ag coordinator has seventeen years of managing state and federal programs
- The ND Dept. of Ag offers its services to all livestock producers

### **3.6 Operation and Maintenance Reviews**

- The Department of Agriculture will monitor construction agreements between contractors and participants.
- Specific waste management designs and parameters will be reviewed and approved by the NDDH.
- The ND Department of Agriculture will conduct inspections during construction, prior to cost share payments and periodically on completed projects to insure proper maintenance is being completed.

#### **4.0 COORDINATION PLAN**

#### 4.1 Lead Project Sponsor

#### North Dakota Department of Agriculture

NDDA is the lead project sponsor of the Livestock Pollution Prevention Program. Responsibilities include overall program and fiscal administration to implement all tasks. The NDDA will be responsible for monitoring the progression of tasks and submitting annual and final project reports to EPA through the NDDH.

### 4.2 & 4.3 COOPERATING AGENCIES & ORGANIZATIONS

#### North Dakota Department of Health

NDDH will be responsible for guidance in decision making throughout the life of the program. The NDDH will also be in charge of reviewing system designs and the overall facility permitting process.

#### Natural Resources Conservation Service

NRCS will provide additional technical assistance to accomplish water quality concerns along with the use of their financial assistance programs. They also will assist the LP3 Coordinator in identifying high priority operations. The NRCS will continue to provide LP3 participants with cost share assistance through the Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP).

#### North Dakota State University Extension Service

NDSU Extension Service will provide educational services through the NDSU Nutrient Management Educational Support Program. The specialists will also provide additional technical assistance to LP3 participants. Finally, the specialist is included as a member of a NDSU Nutrient Management/Livestock Waste Advisory Team.

#### Best Management Practice Engineering Team

The BMP Team will provide the LP3 participants with engineering assistance statewide.

#### Soil Conservation Districts

SCDs will assist in providing LP3 with identification of interested producers and high priority operations in their county. These organizations are crucial for the implementation of tour and promoting the LP3 Program on a local level.

#### North Dakota Stockmen's Association Environmental Services Director

The ND Department of Agriculture has an agreement with the ND Stockmen's Association to coordinate an outreach to develop additional interest in the programs with livestock producers. The Stockmen's program focuses more on assisting feedlots and the LP3 program focuses more on assisting cow/calf operations. Both coordinators will offer technical and financial assistance.

#### 319 Watershed Projects

Most 319 Watershed Projects also provide financial and technical assistance to livestock producers within their designated watersheds. To prevent duplication of effort, the LP3 focuses its attention on livestock feeding areas outside the active 319 Watershed Projects in the lower Missouri Basin. In the event, a producer within an active watershed project requests assistance from LP3, the LP3 coordinator contacts the local watershed coordinator and provides the request to them. If the local watershed coordinator asks for assistance with the request, the LP3 will provide financial and/or technical to the extent necessary.

## **4.4 COORDINATION OF THE PROGRAM**

LP3 will coordinate with cooperating agencies such as Stockmen's Association, NRCS, NDSU Extension's Manure Management Specialists and 319 watershed coordinators to promote and financially and technically assist with the installment of BMPs on livestock operations from a statewide perspective. One area of concentration for the program is assisting livestock facilities located outside watershed project areas where Section 319 funding is not available. The coordinator will refer interested producers to watershed coordinators when facility is located in their project area. The coordinator visits with other 319 coordinators periodically to ensure that efforts are not duplicated on respective facilities.

### 5.0 EVALUATION AND MONITORING PLAN

The LP3 project will use the Animal Feedlot Runoff Risk Index Worksheet (Attachment 5) to estimate nitrogen and phosphorus load reductions resulting from the applied BMP. The estimated potential benefits of the installed manure management systems will be quantified with the worksheet and presented in the annual reports as estimated pollutant reductions.

#### 6.0 NORTH DAKOTA LIVESTOCK POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM\_PHASE VI BUDGET

ATTACHMENT 3 & 4

## LIVESTOCK CONCENTRATION AREA PRIORITIZATION WORKSHEET

#### Purpose

The purpose of this worksheet is to provide a preliminary process for the evaluation of potential water quality impacts associated with livestock concentration and/or winter feeding areas with less than 1000 animal units (AU). Application of the worksheet should be limited to the evaluation/comparison of pollution potential between multiple facilities and/or the evaluation of individual facilities during the initial planning stages. Information and priority rankings included in the worksheet <u>will not</u> provide a definitive answer regarding ND Department of Health (NDDH) permit requirements. Instead, the information and priority rankings should <u>only</u> be used as a guide for the distribution of financial and technical assistance and/or the evaluation of a facility's potential water quality impacts. The final determination of the NDDH permit requirements for a facility will need to be addressed on a case-by case basis by NDDH personnel.

## **General Information**

Type of livestock (List all types):\_\_\_\_\_

Number of each livestock type:\_\_\_\_\_

Briefly describe the type of facility, including size of concentration area; time periods when livestock are present; manure management practices; feeding practices; water sources; etc.: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **Evaluation**

Evaluation <u>Questions</u>	Allowable <u>Points</u>	Actual <u>Points</u>
1) Type of concentration/feeding facility. Open lot (Does not include winter pastures) Total Confinement in a Building	5 3	
2) Peak number of animal units (AU) during the previous year. (Based on attached federal definitions).		
700 - 999 AU	10	
500 - 699 AU	8	
200 - 499 AU	5	
	3	

\* Note: If the facility has over 1000 AU, a manure management system for the facility must be approved by the NDDH. In addition, facilities with over 1000 AU are not eligible for Section 319 financial or technical assistance.

<ol> <li>Total months, during a calendar year, the number of AU's fed and/or housed within the concentration area are greater than 25% of the peak concentrations indicated for question #2.</li> </ol>		
11-12 months	10	
8-10 months	8	
5-7 months	6	
< 5 months	4	
4) Distance to the nearest "blue line" stream or other surface waterbody, based on the USGS quadrangle maps.		
Crosscut by or direct access to adjacent waterbody	15	
<1/4 mile	10	
$\frac{1}{4}$ mile - $\frac{1}{2}$ mile	6	
$_{1/2}$ mile - 1 mile	4	
> 1 mile	2	
5) Depth to aquifer or usable groundwater.		
< 5 feet	15	
5 - 15 feet	10	
16 - 25 feet	5	
26 - 35 feet	2	
> 35 feet	0	
6) Based on the county Soil Survey, permeability of the <u>least</u> permeable soil layer overlying the aquifer or usable groundwater. (If aquifer/groundwater depth is >35 feet, enter 0.)		
> 2.0 inches/hour (e.g. sand)	12	
0.60 - 2.0 inches/hour	10	
0.20 - 0.60 inches/hour	5	
0.06 - 0.20 inches/hour (e.g., heavy clay)	0	
7) Total acreage above the concentration or feeding area that drains through the facility.		
> 25 acres	10	
10 - 25 acres	5	
5 - 10 acres	2	
< 5 acres	1	
8) General topography between the facility and nearest surface waterbody.		
Direct access to the waterbody	15	
Slopes $> 4\%$ and/or well defined drainage	10	
Slopes < 4% and/or poorly defined drainage	1	

TOTAL SCORE\_\_\_\_\_

## **Facility Priority Ranking**

The maximum allowable points for a facility cannot exceed 92. Specific priority rankings for a facility can be based on the actual score or the percent of the maximum score. Percent Maximum scores are determined by dividing the "Actual Score" by the "Maximum Allowable Points."

1) Total Actual Score / Maximum Allowable Points = Percent Maximum Score\_\_\_\_%

\_\_\_\_\_ / \_\_\_\_ = \_\_\_\_%

2) The range of "Actual Scores" and "Percent Maximum Scores" for determining the facility's priority ranking are as follows:

<u>Priority</u>	Actual Score	Maximum Score
High Priority	55 - 92	> 60%
Medium Priority	37 - 54	40% - 59%
Low Priority	14 - 36	< 40%

Priority Ranking:\_\_\_\_\_

### **Comments & Recommended Solutions:**

#### FEDERAL ANIMAL UNIT DEFINITIONS

Animal Type	Animal Unit Equivalent
Beef Cattle & Heifers or Buffalo	1.0
Feeder Cattle	1.0
Lactating/Dry Dairy Cattle	1.43
Swine (> 55 lbs.)	0.40
Swine (< 55 lbs.)	0.10
Turkeys	0.0182
Chickens	0.01
Horses	2.0
Sheep or Lambs	0.10
Ducks	0.20

The total number of animal units (AU) is determined by multiplying the number of "head" by the "animal unit equivalent" for that animal type. For example, (800 Dairy Cattle) x (1.43) = 1,144 AU or (50,000 Turkeys) x (0.0182) = 910 AU

MILESTONE TABLE FOR THE NORTH DAKOTA LIVESTOCK POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM-Phase VI										
TASK/RESPONSIBLE										
ORGANIZATION	OUTPUT	QUANTITY	YEAR 1	YEAR 2						
Task 1										
Educate and provide										
technical assistance to										
interested producers										
Group 1,6,9	30 producers informed about LP3	30	15	5 15						
Task 2										
Evaluate and prioritize	A group of 3 prioritized facilities that are									
ranking sheet results	interested in installing manure									
Group 1,2,3,5,6	management practices	2 partial /1 full	1 full	2 partial						
Task 5										
Establish Concservation										
Plans										
Group 1,2,3,5,6,8	3 installed manure management systems	2 partial /1 full	1 full	2 partial						
Task 6										
Conduct annual reviews on										
installed systems										
Group 1,2,3	10 properly managed systems	2 partial /1 full	1 full	2 partial						
COOPERATING ORGA	<b>ANIZATIONS OR AGENCIES</b>									
Group 1=	North Dakota Department of Ag									
Group 2=	North Dakota Department of Health									
Group 3=	Natural Resources Conservation Service									
	North Dakota State University Extension									
Group 4=	Service									
	Best Management Practice Engineering									
Group 5=	Team									
Group 6=	Soil Conservation Districts									
Group 7=	Watershed Projects									
Group 8=	State Historical Society									
Group 9=	ND Stockmen's Association									
	ΔΤ	тасни	IFNT 2							
	AI									

#### BUDGET TABLE I FY 18 LIVESTOCK POLLUTION PREVENTION PROGRAM PHASE VI

PART 1: FUNDING SOURCES	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>Total</u>	
EPA SECTION 319 FUNDS				
1) FY 18 Funds	\$ 237,650	\$ 112,350	\$	350,000
<u>Subtotals</u>	\$ 237,650	\$ 112,350	\$	350,000

#### STATE/LOCAL MATCH

1) ND Dept. of Agriculture-				
Assisting Employee Inkind				
Match				\$ -
2) ND State Water Commision				
Funds	\$	20,000	\$ 17,100	\$ 37,100
<ol><li>ND State ERP Funds</li></ol>	\$	25,000	\$ 25,000	\$ 50,000
4) Livestock Producers-FA	\$	116,666	\$ 29,567	\$ 146,233
5) Livestock Producers-BMP				
Inkind	_			\$ -
Subtotals	<u>\$</u>	161,666	\$ 71,667	\$ 233,333
Total Budget	\$	399,316	\$ 184,017	\$ 583,333
OTHER FEDERAL FUNDS				
1) NRCS EQIP Funds	\$	200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 400,000
Subtotals	\$	200,000	\$ 200,000	\$ 400,000

Section 319/Non-Federal Budget	2018	2019	то	TAL	Inkind/Cash Match	319 Funds	
PERSONNEL/SUPPORT***							
1. Salaries*	\$ 31,150	\$ 34,600	\$	65,750		\$	65,750
2. Fringe Benefits	\$ 16,500	\$ 17,500	\$	34,000		\$	34,000
3. Travel	\$ 9,000	\$ 9,500	\$	18,500		\$	18,500
4. Supplies	\$ 1,200	\$ 1,300	\$	2,500		\$	2,500
5. Rent/Utilities	\$ -	\$ -					
5. Telephone/Postage	\$ 4,500	\$ 4,750	\$	9,250		\$	9,250
<ol><li>Equipment**</li></ol>			\$	-			
7. Other***	\$ 300	\$ 350	\$	650		\$	650
8. Inkind****	\$ 41,767	\$ 45,333	\$	87,100	<u>\$ 87,100</u>	<u>)</u>	
Subtotal	\$ 104,417	\$ 113,333	\$	217,750	\$ 87,100	D \$	130,650

\*Salary is supplemented by State funding

\*\*Equipment expenses may include such items as field equipment

\*\*\*Other expenses may include dues, fees, and ect

\*\*\*\*Inkind match is generated by expended state funds, fellow assisting employee time/salary and BMP inkind match

#### BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

Total	\$ 396,083	\$ 73,917	\$ 583,333	\$ 233,333	\$	350,000
Subtotal	\$ 291,666	 73,917	\$ 365,583	\$ 146,233	\$	219,350
Livestock Waste Containment Systems	291,666	 73,917	 365,583	\$ 146,233	<u>\$</u>	219,350

#### \*North Dakota Animal Feedlot Runoff Risk Index Worksheet

Landowner:	
Location:	
<b>Planner:</b>	
Date:	

 Weather Station:

 HUC:

 Precipitation:

 (#N/A)

Lot Description:				
Planning Scenario:	Before	After	Before	After
Lot Size (Sq. Ft.):				
Surface Type:				
Animal Type:				
No. of Animals:				
Avg. Weight:				
Days Confined:				
Sq.Ft./Animal:				
Feedlot Features				
<b>Runoff Containment</b>				
<b>Distance to Water</b>				
% Slope				
<b>Vegetation</b>				
Clean H <sub>2</sub> 0 Diversion				
	Ind	lex and Risk Level		
Index:				
Risk Level:				
Manure Management and Conservation Practices				
Haul/Scrape Frequency				
Prostiggs to be				
implemented				
implemented				
Loading Calculations				
Fresh Manure (tons)				
Total N Available (lbs)				
Total P Available (lbs)				
Total BOD <sub>5</sub> Available (lbs)				
Precipitation Factor				
Lot Surface Factor				
Risk Factor				
Total N Loading (lbs)				
Total P Loading (lbs)				
<b>Total BOD5 Loading (lbs)</b>				

\*Modified from Utah to fit North Dakota. Individual high risk features should be evaluated and conservation practices applied where possible. All runoff from a 25-year, 24-hour storm event must be contained on the lot.

#### **Practices that might be implemented:**

Move Lot Regrade Lot Build Storage Increase Storage Install Dike Install Diversion Increase Sq.Ft./Animal Install Filter Strip Roof Runoff System Change Hauling Frequency





