

An Improved Understanding of Nitrogen and Phosphorus Delivery : Results from Refined Regional SPARROW Models

By

Joel M. Galloway

Dale M. Robertson¹, David A. Saad¹, Gregory E. Schwarz², and
Richard A. Alexander²

U.S. Geological Survey,

¹Wisconsin Water Science Center; ²National Center, Reston

Approach - SPARROW Water-Quality Model -

SPAtially Referenced Regression on Watershed Attributes

<http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/sparrow>

Monitoring Data
Annual Loads




Y variable

Geographic Data Layers

Land Use

Sources – Base Year




Corn

Wheat

Point

Sources
Atmospheric
Dep.

Stream Network



Soils




Stream & Reservoir
Water Velocity



X variables


Model Predictions

62,000 Stream Reaches



- Mass Balance Model with spatially variable deliveries. Hybrid statistical/mechanistic process structure. Data-driven, nonlinear estimation of parameters
- Separates land and in-stream processes
- Predictions of mean-annual flux reflect long-term, net effects of nutrient supply and loss processes in watersheds
- Once calibrated, the model has physically interpretable coefficients; model supports hypothesis testing and uncertainty estimation

SPARROW: SPATIally Referenced Regression on Watershed Atttributes Model



$$\text{Target} = \text{Flux out} = \text{Flux in} + (\alpha_s \text{ Sources} \times \theta_D \text{ Delivery}) - \theta_I \text{ Instream Decay}$$

Watershed Modeling Continuum

Statistical ← → Deterministic

Artificial Neural
Networks (ANN)

Kriging


Linear Regression
(steady state)

Spatially Referenced
Non-Linear Regression
SPARROW


GWLF
(Generalized Watershed
Loading Function)

SWAT
(Soil Water
Assessment Tool)

HSPF
(Hydrologic Simulation
Program-Fortran)




Cole et al. 1997



SWAT Users Manual

Regional Models part of the NAWQA Program



MRB SPARROW
Lead Scientists
Coordinator – Steve Preston


Robertson & Saad, WI

2002 SPARROW Models


All Published in 2011 in JAWRA

Ranking based on Delivered Incremental Nitrogen Yields


Delivered TN
Incremental Yields
(kg/ha) to the Gulf



Distribution in Incremental Phosphorus Yields




Ranking based on Delivered Incremental Phosphorus Yields




Sources of Nutrients to the Gulf of Mexico

Total Nitrogen








Total Phosphorus









Relative Importance of Various Phosphorus Sources – Spatially Explicit

Percent of
Source to
Total
Incremental
Load










Sources of Nutrients Throughout the MARB

Percent of Source to Total Incremental Load




Agricultural Sources Throughout the MARB


Percent of
Source to Total
Incremental
Load



Ranking of State Contributions to the Gulf of Mexico from the MARB



Ranking of State Contributions to the Gulf of Mexico from the MARB



Methods to demonstrate results and help guide decisions > Nutrient Reduction Strategies

1. SPARROW Mapper –


*Easy and simple way to get SPARROW
results, especially by hydrologic and
political boundaries.*

<http://wim.usgs.gov/SparrowMRB3/SparrowMRB3Mapper.html#>


<http://wim.usgs.gov/SparrowGL/SparrowGLMapper.html#>

<http://wim.usgs.gov/SparrowMARB/SparrowMARBMapper.html#>


Mississippi/Atchafalaya River Basin (MARB) SPARROW MAPPER




MARB SPARROW MAPPER




MARB SPARROW MAPPER




Total Nitrogen



Total Phosphorus





Available Layers

Nutrient model results

- ☒ Total Phosphorus
- ☐ Total Nitrogen

Area of Interest

North Dakota

Select Main Watershed


Select HUC8

Select Tributary Outlet

Displayed Metric

Delivered Accumulated Yield

2002 Total Phosphorus Delivered Accumulated Yield (kg/km²)



Export Data



Delivered Accumulated Yield for entire view

View Nutrient Totals



Zoom History

← → ↻



Available Layers

Nutrient model results

- ☒ Total Phosphorus
- ☐ Total Nitrogen

Area of Interest

North Dakota


Select Main Watershed


Select HUC8

Select Tributary Outlet


Displayed Metric ?

Delivered Accumulated Yield








MRB3 SPARROW Mapper for P Yields – shown by SPARROW Catchment




MRB3 SPARROW Mapper for Delivered P Yields – shown by Major Watershed











Just looking at the North Dakota part of MRB3 – Accumulated Load



Just looking at the North Dakota part of MRB3 – Incremental Yield



*Methods to demonstrate results and
help guide decisions*

**2. *Decision Support System Scientists/Managers –
Capable of using to visualize SPARROW output
and run various scenarios.***

<http://cida.usgs.gov/sparrow/>

SPARROW Decision Support System

Find a Model by Geographic Location:

Select a region or state. When a state is selected, all models containing that state are listed.



Iowa

Find a Model by Modeled Constituent:

Any

Models matching your criteria (click a model to show details)

[Mississippi/Atchafalaya Basin Total Nitrogen Model - 2002](#)

[Mississippi/Atchafalaya Basin Total Phosphorus Model - 2002](#)

[National Suspended Sediment Model - 1992](#)

[National Total Nitrogen Model - 1992](#)

[National Total Organic Carbon Model](#)

[National Total Phosphorus Model - 1992](#)

[Total Nitrogen Model for the Great Lakes, Ohio, Upper Mississippi, and Souris-Red-Rainy Region - 2002](#)

[Total Nitrogen Model for the Missouri River Basin - 2002](#)

[Total Phosphorus Model for the Great Lakes, Ohio, Upper Mississippi, and Souris-Red-Rainy Region - 2002](#)

[Total Phosphorus Model for the Missouri River Basin - 2002](#)

Documentation and Further Reading

- [What is SPARROW?](#)
- [What is SPARROW Decision Support?](#)
- [SPARROW Applications & Documentation](#)
- [SPARROW DSS FAQs](#)

Tutorial Videos

Select a video...

[Watch now >>](#)

Found a bug or have a comment?

Please send bugs, suggestions and questions to the [SPARROW Decision Support System Administrator](#).

Selected Model

Mississippi/Atchafalaya Basin Total Phosphorus Model - 2002



[Explore this model in the Decision Support System >>](#)

Modeled Constituent: Phosphorus

Base Year: 2002

Stream Network: [Enhanced River Reach File 2.0](#)
Geometry and additional reach and network attribute data are available with the stream network data, which is available as a separate download.

Model Updates: [View this model's updates](#)

Watershed Based Sessions

To start the DSS with the outlet river reach of a major watershed selected for downstream tracking, select a watershed and click Go.

[Go >>](#)

Scenario Based Sessions

To start the DSS with a predefined scenario, click on the link for one of the scenarios below.

Map the model results by reach or catchment.

1. Select a Data Series

Data Series

Total Load

Comparison To Original Model

Do Not Compare

2. Select a Model Source

Model Source

All

Map Units: ☒ Mass ☐ Percent

3. Select the map display options

Display: ☐ Reaches ☒ Catchments

☐ Calibration Sites


☐ Reach Overlay

☐ HUC8 Overlay

Binning for Map Color and Legend

5 Equal Count Bins

☒ Auto binning [Edit Custom Bins...](#)



EXPLANATION

Total Load

kg•year⁻¹ of Phosphorus

< 1x10³

1x10³ to 5x10³

5x10³ to 19x10³

19x10³ to 100x10³

> 100x10³



SPARROW Decision Support System Mississippi/Atchafalaya Basin Total Phosphorus Model - 2002

<< Home

Display Results Downstream Tracking **Change Inputs**

Find a reach... Export Data... Session Layers

Hide Header/Footer SPARROW Model / Videos

Map the effect of management scenarios on stream water quality, based on hypothetical changes in source inputs. For more information, [click here](#).

1. Select stream reach(es) where changes will be applied

- Locate on map
- Find by name or hydrologic unit code

2. Change the values of the source inputs

(Right click to change input values or show on map)

Missouri River
upstream of 29040 (REACH:29040)


3. Display Results

From the Display Results tab, select a data series.

(Map relative or absolute changes using the *Comparison to Original Model* feature)

Map settings have changed. **Update map** to refresh all data.


Update Map



Missouri River


Input Changes		Notes
Wastewater Treatment Plants	Phosphorus (kg•year ⁻¹)	1 enter custom multiplier...
Manure (total)	Phosphorus (kg•year ⁻¹)	0.5 enter custom multiplier...
Fertilizers (farm)	Phosphorus (kg•year ⁻¹)	0.5 enter custom multiplier...
Forest and Wetland Areas	Land Area (km ²)	1 enter custom multiplier...
Urban Areas	Land Area (km ²)	1 enter custom multiplier...
Channel Erosion	Channel Length (m)	1 enter custom multiplier...

Save Group Changes Cancel



Map out of sync

Map the model results by reach or catchment.





1. Select a Data Series
Data Series
Incremental Yield
Comparison To Original Model
% change from original

2. Select a Model Source
Model Source
All
Map Units: ☒ Mass ☐ Percent


3. Select the map display options
Display: ☐ Reaches ☒ Catchments
☐ Calibration Sites
☐ Reach Overlay
☐ HUC8 Overlay

Binning for Map Color and Legend
5 Equal Count Bins
☒ Auto binning [Edit Custom Bins...](#)


Currently mapping **Change in Incremental Yield.**
The map is up to date.



Share of Total Phosphorus Load by Source - Original



Share of Total Phosphorus Load by Source - Adjusted



Reach/Catchment Info

Model Source Inputs

Predicted Values

Graphs

Current Mapped Value: $7.14 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{km}^{-2} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$ of Phosphorus (Incremental Yield)**Predicted Values (Data Series)**


Source ▲	Original (Phosphorus $\text{kg} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$)	% of Load (Orig.)	Adjusted (Phosphorus $\text{kg} \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$)	% of Load(Adj.)	% Change
Total Load					
Wastewater Treatment Plants Total L...	115,077	4.8	115,077	6.2	0
Manure (total) Total Load	573,253	23.8	286,627	15.3	-50
Fertilizers (farm) Total Load	506,760	21.0	253,380	13.6	-50
Forest and Wetland Areas Total Load	235,110	9.8	235,110	12.6	0
Urban Areas Total Load	290,095	12.0	290,095	15.5	0
Channel Erosion Total Load	687,324	28.5	687,324	36.8	0
Loess Soils Total Load	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Total Load	2,407,620	100.0	1,867,614	100.0	-22
Incremental Load					
Wastewater Treatment Plants Increm...	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Manure (total) Incremental Load	1,056	48.7	528	39.8	-50
Fertilizers (farm) Incremental Load	629	29.0	314	23.7	-50
Forest and Wetland Areas Increm...	50	2.3	50	3.7	0
Urban Areas Incremental Load	434	20.0	434	32.7	0
Channel Erosion Incremental Load	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Loess Soils Incremental Load	0	0.0	0	0.0	0
Incremental Load	2,167	100.0	1,325	100.0	-39

OK

Cancel

Larger Regional Models - NAWQA

RF1 (HUC11) > NHD Plus Scale(1:100,000) (HUC14)
2002 Inputs > 2012 Inputs



References:

Robertson, D.M., Saad, D.A., Schwarz, G.E., 2014, *Spatial Variability in Nutrient Transport by HUC8, State, and Subbasin Based on Mississippi/Atchafalaya River Basin SPARROW Models*: *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*.

Robertson, D.M. and Saad, D.A., 2013, *SPARROW models used to understand nutrient sources in the Mississippi/Atchafalaya River Basin*: *Journal of Environmental Quality*. v. 42, no. 5, p. 1422-1440, DOI: 10.2134/jeq2013.02.0066.

Robertson, D.M. and D.A. Saad, 2011. *Nutrient Inputs to the Laurentian Great Lakes by Source and Watershed Estimated Using SPARROW Watershed Models*. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*. v. 47, p. 1011-1033, DOI: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00574.x.

Booth, N.L., E.J. Everman, I.-L. Kuo, L. Sprague, and L. Murphy, 2011. *A Web-Based Decision Support System for Assessing Regional Water-Quality Conditions and Management Actions*. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, v. 47, p. 1136-1150.

Saad, D.A., G.E. Schwarz, D.M. Robertson, and N.L. Booth, 2011. *A Multi-Agency Nutrient Dataset Used to Estimate Loads, Improve Monitoring Design, and Calibrate Regional Nutrient SPARROW Models*. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, v. 47, p. 933-949, DOI: 10.1111/j.1752-1688.2011.00575.x

Robertson, D.M., Schwarz, G.E., Saad, D.A., and Alexander, R.B., 2009, *Incorporating uncertainty into the ranking of SPARROW model nutrient yields from Mississippi/Atchafalaya River basin watersheds*. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, v. 45, n. 2, p. 534-549.

Alexander, R.B., Smith, R.A., Schwarz, G.E., Boyer, E.W., Nolan, J.V., and Brakebill, J.W., 2008, *Differences in phosphorus and nitrogen delivery to the Gulf of Mexico from the Mississippi River Basin*. *Environmental Science and Technology*, v. 42, n. 3, p. 822-830.